ORIGINAL



02

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMM.....

WEVEN ED

2006 SEP -1 P 2: 4:

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

SEP -1 2006

DOCKETED BY

NR

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR APPROVALS ASSOCIATED WITH A PROPOSED TRANSACTION WITH MARICOPA COUNTY MUNICIPAL WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT NUMBER ONE TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SURFACE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY KNOWN AS THE WHITE TANKS PROJECT

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-05-0718

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

REVISED APPLICATION

I. INTRODUCTION

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON

BARRY WONG

KRISTIN K. MAYES

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

On October 11, 2005, Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or the "Company") filed for certain approvals associated with construction of a water treatment facility known as the White Tanks Regional Water Treatment Facility ("White Tanks Plant"). The White Tanks Plant is designed to treat water delivered from the Central Arizona Project for distribution to Arizona-American's customers in its Agua Fria Water District. In Arizona-American's initial application, the White Tanks Plant was to be financed, built, and owned by the Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation District Number One ("MWD"). Further, Arizona-American would obtain treatment services through a long-term capital lease with MWD, and an Arizona-American affiliate would operate the plant through an Operation and Maintenance Agreement with MWD. As part of that application, Arizona-American asked for approval of a number of requests.

Arizona-American still believes that the White Tanks Plant is needed to support future growth in our Agua Fria Water District. It will allow us to provide safe, reliable, supplies of

1

2

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 2 of 14

renewable water to our customers, and largely avoid the need to drill new water wells. However, circumstances have changed since the initial application. MWD no longer wishes to finance, build, and own the White Tanks Plant.

Arizona-American can construct, own, and operate the White Tanks Plant, but it will not be able to do so under a conventional rate-making model, where the Company would finance the facility during construction, then file a rate case after the facility was completed, and then wait to begin recovery on and of its investment until after the rate case concluded. Arizona-American's financial situation will not allow it to attract the funds needed for a project of this size. To support construction of the White Tanks Plant, Arizona-American will have to significantly increase the current hook-up fees charged for new connections in its Agua Fria Water District. This will bring hook-up fees closer to the levels in effect or proposed in other fast-growing areas of Maricopa County.

Most of the approvals originally requested are no longer needed, but Arizona-American will still need certain accounting approvals in order to construct the White Tanks Plant. An evidentiary hearing should not be needed to process this revised application.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

Arizona-American is a public service corporation engaged in providing water and wastewater utility services in portions of Maricopa, Mohave, and Santa Cruz Counties, Arizona, pursuant to various certificates of public convenience and necessity granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission") to Arizona-American and its predecessors in interest. The Company presently provides utility service to approximately 100,000 water customers and 50,000 sewer customers in Arizona, and is Arizona's largest investor-owned water and wastewater utility. Arizona-American's Agua Fria District is located in the rapidly developing western Phoenix suburbs (generally north of I-10, between the White Tank Mountains and the 101 Expressway), where the Company currently has about 30,000 water customers and is adding approximately 3,500 new water customers per year.

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 3 of 14

The Company's central business office is located at 19820 North Seventh Street, Suite 201, Phoenix, Arizona 85024, and its telephone number is (623) 445-2400. The person responsible for overseeing and directing the conduct of this application is Thomas M. Broderick. Mr. Broderick's contact information follows:

Thomas M. Broderick
Manager, Rates and Regulations, Western Region
American Water Company
19820 North Seventh Street, Suite 201
Phoenix, Arizona 85024
Tel: (623) 445-2420
Fax: (623) 445-2454

Email: Tom.Broderick@amwater.com

Please direct all data requests and other requests for information concerning this revised application to Mr. Broderick, with a copy to Arizona-American's undersigned counsel.

III. THE WHITE TANKS PLANT

As part of its initial application in this case, Arizona-American filed the White Tanks Report. The Report is no longer part of the revised application.

A. Regional Need for Surface Water Treatment Facility

Over the last 50 years, the West Valley has developed largely based on groundwater resources. As a result, groundwater overdraft and depletion in the area has been severe. Arizona-American and other entities serving the West Valley have access to Colorado River water delivered through canals and other facilities owned by the Central Arizona Project ("CAP"). However, treatment is required for this water to meet drinking-water standards.

In 1997, a number of western Maricopa County municipalities and private water companies holding CAP water contracts formed WESTCAPS to develop cooperative regional solutions for use of the region's CAP water allocations and other renewable water supplies. This effort was driven by the concerns of the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") and West Valley water providers about the long-term consequences of continuing to use only groundwater to support population growth. Continuing to rely solely on groundwater would be

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 4 of 14

imprudent because of accelerated groundwater level declines, land subsidence, declining well-production rates, and the increasing number of wells that could not meet Safe Drinking Water Act standards.

WESTCAPS determined that regional planning was needed to develop the most cost-effective strategy to supply the water needed to support the growth expected in the West Valley. To facilitate the WESTCAPS plan development and the curtailment of groundwater use in the West Valley, ADWR contributed a total of \$200,000 toward the study. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation also contributed over \$1,000,000 of in-kind services toward the project.

In April 2001, WESTCAPS released its Regional Water Supply Plan. Groundwater modeling studies, conducted by ADWR and by the Bureau of Reclamation as part of the WESTCAPS study, warned that continued reliance on groundwater to support new development will result in long-term groundwater declines that approach or exceed the ADWR Assured Water Supply limit of 1000 feet below land surface. This would also accelerate land-subsidence problems. The Regional Water Supply Plan concluded that the area's water suppliers should maximize their use of CAP water and other surface water resources. To treat that water, WESTCAPS recommended the construction of two regional treatment facilities.

One of those treatment facilities has become the White Tanks Regional Water Treatment Facility ("White Tanks Plant"). The WESTCAPS study selected the site of the proposed White Tanks Plant (Cactus and Perryville Road, on the Beardsley canal) because of its location on the canal and its proximity to multiple water provider service areas. The 45-acre plant site is large enough to support a facility that could ultimately treat up to 80 million gallons per day (MGD).

Arizona-American's recent experience underscores the need for the White Tanks Plant. Most recently constructed wells within the Agua Fria District have exhibited poor water quality and low rates of water production. Over the last few years, levels of arsenic, fluoride, nitrate, chromium, or other constituents in excess of Federal and State drinking water standards have become all-too common in new wells constructed within the Agua Fria District south of

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 5 of 14

Greenway Road. These wells will require expensive wellhead treatment systems to remove the contaminants at a considerably higher total capital and operation and maintenance cost than needed for wells only a few years ago. To locate water, deeper drilling has been necessary, which raises capital costs and increases pumping costs (electricity). In addition, well yields below Greenway have been lower than for new wells north of Greenway. Overall, Arizona-American's recent experience with new well construction—whether drilled by the Company or by a developer—highlights the need for surface water treatment plant capacity to minimize long-term water costs for Arizona-American's customers in its Agua Fria District.

B. Project Background

Arizona-American holds a CAP-water subcontract for 11,093 acre-feet per year, which will require treatment before it can be delivered to its Agua Fria customers. In addition, Arizona-American and MWD have an agreement whereby MWD will provide Agua Fria River Water it now controls to Arizona-American for treatment and delivery to its customers residing within the MWD area. Arizona-American estimates that this agreement will result in up to 21,000 acre-feet per year of additional surface water being available for direct treatment and delivery at buildout of the Agua Fria District.

In 2002, Arizona-American began moving forward with the regional treatment plant concept by purchasing a 45-acre parcel of land at the site identified in the WESTCAPS Regional Water Supply Plan. At that time, Arizona-American believed that it could obtain financing to design, build, and operate this regional treatment facility—the White Tanks Plant.

In 2003, Arizona-American signed a contract for design and construction of the White Tanks Plant with the Joint Venture of Black and Veatch (design and engineering), and Western Summit Constructors, Inc. (construction). The White Tanks Plant is designed to be constructed in phases. The capacity of the Phase I(a) plant is 13.5 MGD and is expandable to 20 MGD (Phase I(b)) with the addition of one more treatment-unit train. Three additional phases (20

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 6 of 14

MGD each) can eventually be added, depending on the rate of development in the region, for a total treatment capacity of 80 MGD.

Arizona-American now projects that the White Tanks Plant will be needed in May 2009 to meet customer demands expected for that summer. This is one year later than estimated in the Company's initial application. The Company was able to delay the in-service date one year for two reasons: customer growth has slowed because of the recent real-estate slowdown, and new low-cost facilities and resources are being added that will improve water availability in critical areas of the Agua Fria Water District.

Black and Veatch has now finalized the plans for the White Tanks Plant, so that Arizona-American can competitively bid its construction. Arizona-American intends to begin the competitive bid process at roughly at the same time as the Commission provides the necessary approvals requested in this revised application. Permitting (through Maricopa County and other agencies), of the Phase I plant is essentially complete.

C. Project Schedule

As just discussed, Arizona-American requires additional water-production capacity by the summer of 2009 to meet its Agua Fria customers' rapidly growing water demands.

Construction of the White Tanks Plant will require approximately 24 months. Therefore, in order for the plant to be available to meet summer-2009 demands, construction must begin during the second quarter of 2007. An abbreviated schedule of significant milestones follows:

- Commission Approval (Q4 2006)
- RFP for Construction Contract Issued (Q4 2006)
- Conditional Construction Contract Awarded (Q1 2007)
- Construction Begins (Q2 2007)
- Construction Completed (Q2 2009)

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 7 of 14

D. Construction Cost

Exhibit A to this revised application summarizes the latest cost estimates for the White Tanks Plant. For a 6.7 MGD plant, Arizona-American estimates a total cost of \$64,815,000. For a 13.5 MGD plant the estimated cost increases by just \$2,510,000 to \$67,325,000. The difference is largely attributable to adding one more treatment train during initial construction. Given the relatively small difference for twice the treatment capacity, it would not be prudent to initially build the smaller capacity plant and then add the treatment train later. This would likely be significantly more expensive, because a contractor would have to mobilize a new work force and add the new treatment train while the facility was actually operating. The operation and maintenance costs associated with the two options should be the same, until such time as the additional capacity is actually needed.

Other entities have approached Arizona-American concerning purchasing treatment services at the completed plant. If the additional capacity is immediately available, this will make the White Tanks Plant more attractive to other purchasers. In turn, third-party purchases would reduce the future revenue requirement or hook-up fees required to recover the cost of the facility.

IV. WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVE

The alternative to the White Tanks Plant would be business as usual—continuing to rely on groundwater supplies. Arizona-American does not believe that this option would be wise for several reasons.

A well-construction option is problematic. As discussed above, in the Agua Fria District Arizona-American and developers have been finding it increasingly more difficult to locate and obtain suitable well sites. ADWR well-spacing regulations have made permitting of high capacity wells extremely difficult. Flow rates in many new wells south of Greenway have been disappointing. Several wells drilled or tested for potable water supply in this area have proven completely unusable. Further, most new wells in the area south of Greenway are requiring

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 8 of 14

arsenic treatment. Levels of arsenic, fluorides, nitrates, and chromium are also troubling and may require additional high-cost treatment.

Even if high-quality, high-yield, wells could be found, continuing to drill wells would be contrary to public policy. Groundwater modeling studies conducted by ADWR and by the Bureau of Reclamation warn that continued reliance on groundwater to support new development would cause unacceptable groundwater level declines and accelerate land-subsidence problems. Also, the WESTCAPS study concluded that the area's water suppliers should maximize their use of CAP and other surface water resources and recommended the construction of two regional treatment facilities, one of which is the White Tanks Plant. Finally, the Commission has strongly encouraged utilities under its jurisdiction to make full use of surface-water resources, which cannot be delivered to customers without treatment.

For all these reasons, construction of the White Tanks Plant is the prudent course of action.

V. INITIAL APPLICATION

Arizona-American's initial application in this case also requested certain approvals needed for construction of the White Tanks Plant. Like the revised application, the initial application asked to increase hook-up fees in the Company's Agua Fria Water District to fund the White Tanks Plant. However, the initial application was substantially more complicated than the revised application, because of several factors:

- Arizona-American was to sell the plant site, a pipeline, and other assets to MWD to be included as part of the White Tanks Plant.
- MWD was to finance, construct, and own the White Tanks Plant.
- Arizona-American's long-term commitment for a majority of the White Tank Plant's treatment capacity required that the transaction be accounted for as a capital lease.
- An Arizona-American affiliate was to operate and maintain the White Tanks Plant under a long-term O&M agreement.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

Because of these factors, Arizona-American had to request <u>several approvals</u> from the Commission that are no longer needed:

- Authorize Arizona-American Water Company under A.R.S. § 40-301 *et. seq* to issue an evidence of indebtedness in an amount equal to the capital lease asset and determined consistent with the methodology set forth in Attachment B to the initial application;
- Authorize Arizona-American Water Company under A.R.S. § 40-285 to transfer the
 Pipeline Main and other assets to MWD;
- Find that it is prudent for Arizona-American Water Company to enter into the agreements contemplated in the MOU, including the proposed capital lease with MWD;
- Approve the regulatory process set forth in Section IVA of the White Tanks Report;
 and
- Authorize the ratemaking treatment set in Section IVB of the White Tanks Report for the proposed capital lease and associated documents between Arizona-American and MWD.

In the next sections, Arizona-American will describe the few approvals needed for it to proceed with the White Tanks Plant on its own.

VI. TWO ALTERNATIVE REQUESTS

A. Option 1

In its Agua Fria District, Arizona-American is currently charging builders a Water Facilities Hook-Up Fee of only \$1,150 for 5/8 x 3/4-inch meters, \$1,750 for 3/4-inch meters, \$2,875 for one-inch meters, with increasing fees for larger meters. This is substantially less than builders are paying in similar high-growth areas in Maricopa County. For example, in the City of Peoria, the current water hook-up fee for 3/4 and one-inch meters is \$3,497. The City of Surprise is proposing new development fees for Water Resources (\$3,447) and Drinking Water

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 10 of 14

System (\$3,500) totaling \$6,955 for new-home residential water customers with 3/4-inch meters. Finally, in Decision No. 68857, the Commission approved a rate-base reduction tariff for Arizona-American's Anthem Water District. This tariff applies to all new connections and starts at \$3,000 for 5/8 x 3/4-inch meters. The rate-base reduction tariff is on top of a \$765 per equivalent residential unit capacity reservation charge.

For Option 1, Arizona-American proposes to increase its hook-up fee to the same level as the rate-base reduction fee in effect for its Anthem Water District. Exhibit B lists by year each capital project that is currently eligible for recovery through funds generated by the Agua Fria Water Facilities Hook-Up Fee. The 13.5 MGD White Tanks Plant is listed in the first row, with the total cost equal to the \$67,325,000 detailed in Exhibit A. Total projected CapEx for eligible projects, including the White Tanks Plant, equals \$132,892,655.

Exhibit C is a spreadsheet that projects Water Facilities Hook-Up Fee collections and offsets collections against the eligible water projects listed in Exhibit B. Essentially, present anticipated hook-up fee collections will be enough only to fund existing projects. If the White Tanks Plant is built, there will be a permanent deficit in excess of \$70,000,000, which would have to be funded through increased rates.

Option 1 is shown on Exhibit D. It resets the Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees to the level recently approved by the Commission for Arizona-American's Anthem Water District. At these levels, the White Tanks Plant would be fully funded, but not until late 2013. Further, without an accounting order, Arizona-American would not be able to recover its carrying costs on the unrecovered balance, so it would still not be made whole on its investment for the benefit of its customers.

A. Option 2

Option 2 is shown on Exhibit E. It resets the Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees to levels roughly sufficient to fund the White Tanks Plant in the year it enters service -2009. This hook-up fee would start at \$4,700 for a $5/8 \times 3/4$ -inch meter.

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 11 of 14

VII. ACCOUNTING ORDER

Arizona-American also requests an accounting order to cover two matters:

First, the order should provide Arizona-American the ability to accrue post-in-service AFUDC on the unfunded balance of the White Tanks Plant investment. This will allow Arizona-American to be made whole on its investment until hook-up fees collections are sufficient to fund the entire Plant balance. Even with Option 2, there is an expected lag between plant completion and the needed hook-up fee collections. And if growth is less than expected, this lag could be even greater. Customers should be indifferent because the hook-up fee funds will be treated as contributions and will completely offset the plant investment, including the post-in-service AFUDC.

Second, the order should provide that collected hook-up fees will not be considered to be contributions until some corresponding eligible plant enters service. Because CWIP is not included in rate base, the contribution balance would otherwise grow far faster than rate base, causing rate base to decline rapidly, only to then bounce back as the plant entered service. Earnings would also yo-yo.

VIII. 2008 RATE CASE

In Decision No. 68825, the Commission required Arizona-American to file a rate case for its Agua Fria District by no later than May 31, 2008, based on a 2007 test year. Arizona-American would like to include two proposals related to the White Tanks Plant in that filing.

First, Arizona-American would propose to adjust the Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees based on information known to that date. This will include:

- Actual to-date plant costs;
- The effects of any third-party treatment contracts;
- Actual hook-up fee collections;
- Revised projected customer additions and meter preferences; and
- Future capital requirements.

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company Revised Application Page 12 of 14

Second, Arizona-American would propose a mechanism, similar to the Commission's ACRM procedure, to recover operation and maintenance costs associated with the White Tanks Plant between rate cases. The Company currently estimates that these O&M costs will be approximately \$1.5 million per year, based on current media, electricity, and other costs.

IX. NO HEARING REQUIRED

Because Arizona-American is asking only to adjust the existing Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees and for an accounting order, no hearing should be required. Hook-up fee collections that exceed current requirements are kept in a separate account and do not affect earnings. However, time is of the essence if the White Tanks Plant is to enter service in time to satisfy peak-demands expected in 2009. Therefore, Arizona-American asks that a Staff Report be issued by October 13, 2006, and that Arizona-American and any other parties be provided until November 3, 2006, the opportunity to respond, in the nature of exceptions, to the Staff Report. This should allow this matter to be considered at the Commission's regularly scheduled November 21-22, 2006, Open Meeting.

X. CUSTOMER BENEFITS

There are a number of important customer benefits to construction of the White Tanks
Plant and the associated approvals:

- The transaction will make possible the construction of a regional surface-water treatment facility known as the White Tanks Plant.
- Arizona-American will be able to use its share of the treatment capacity at the White
 Tanks Plant to treat its entitlement to Colorado River water delivered through
 facilities of the Central Arizona Project and its future Agua Fria River Water
 entitlement.
- Consistent with state, local, and Commission policy, the transaction will preserve groundwater resources throughout the Company's Agua Fria District by significantly reducing anticipated groundwater usage.

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company **Revised Application** Page 13 of 14

> With the hook-up fee adjustments, there should be no near-term rate increases for existing customers.

XI. **REQUESTED COMMISSION ACTIONS**

Arizona-American requests the following specific actions from the Commission as part of its order in this proceeding:

- Adjust the Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees in accordance with either Option 1 or Option 2, above.
- Provide an Accounting Order as described in Section VII, above.
- Order Arizona-American to file, as part of its 2008 Agua Fria Rate Filing, a revised Water Facilities Hook-Up Fee proposal based on the best information known to the Company at that time.
- Order Arizona-American to file, as part of its 2008 Agua Fria Rate Filing, for approval of a proposed mechanism, similar to the Commission's ACRM procedure, to recover operation and maintenance expense for the White Tanks Plant incurred between rate cases.

XII. **CONCLUSION**

For all the reasons set forth above Arizona-American Water Company asks the Commission to provide the requested relief.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED on September 1, 2006.

Craig A. Marks Corporate Counsel

Arizona-American Water Company

and make

19820 N. 7th Street

Suite 201

Phoenix, Arizona 85024

(623) 445-2442

Craig.Marks@amwater.com

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

Revised Application Page 14 of 14 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Original and 13 copies filed on September 1, 2006, with: **Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Copies of the foregoing mailed 10 on September 1, 2006, to: 11 12 Teena Wolfe 13 Administrative Law Judge 14 Arizona Corporation Commission 15 1200 West Washington St. 16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 17 18 Keith Layton 19 Attorney, Legal Division 20 Arizona Corporation Commission 21 1200 West Washington St. 22 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 23 24 Steve Olea 25 Arizona Corporation Commission 26 27 1200 West Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007 28 29 James Dorf 30 Arizona Corporation Commission 31 1200 West Washington St. 32 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 33 34 Scott S. Wakefield 35 Chief Counsel 36 Residential Utility Consumer Office 37 1110 West Washington Street 38 Suite 220 39 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 40 41 Mary Lee Diaz Cortez 42 Residential Utility Consumer Office 43 1110 West Washington Street 44 Suite 220 45 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 46 any fatis 47 48 49 By:

50

DOCKET No. W-01303A-05-0718 Arizona-American Water Company

White Tanks Plant Phase 1A Budget Review

				\$56,743,96 Ch 200 SASS, DOES NOT WILLING AFUNC. \$3,082,116 \$2,417,150 \$2,417,150 \$2,417,150 \$1,082,417,150 \$175,920 \$175,920 \$1,092,175,920 \$1,092,175,920 \$1,002,100 \$1,000,100
Est Revised Cost for 13.4 MGD \$603.000	\$17,986,000 \$1,636,000 \$1,986,000 \$1,986,000 \$7,198,000 \$7,198,000 \$7,198,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000,000	\$7,018,224 \$1,000,000 \$39,821,224 \$8,670,000 \$1,861,972 \$4,6543,196	\$3.294.823 \$3.704.586 \$3.771.586 \$4.736.030 \$4.736.030 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736 \$5.716.736	295
Proposed Adustments Iron Phy Review for 13.4 MGD		\$16,224 (1) \$1,000,000 (5) \$1,016,224 \$450,000 (3) \$50,011 (4)		DOES NOT INCLUDE AFUCC,
Est Revised Cost for 6.7 MGD 1	\$17,986,000 \$1,896,000 \$422,000 \$1,896,000 \$7,189,000 \$7,189,000 \$7,180,000 \$7,190,000 \$1,900,000 \$1,900,000 \$1,900,000 \$1,900,000	\$7,018,224 (\$900,000) \$38,021,224 \$1,901,061 \$1,901,061 \$46,442,285	\$3.294.823 \$277.546 \$277.546 \$77.540 \$11.050 \$25,400 \$11.050 \$10.050 \$10.050 \$10.000 \$	2
Proposed Adjustments from Ptx Review for 6.7 MGD		\$18.224 (?) (\$900,000) (\$881,776) \$300,000 (?) (\$44,089) (9)		 A 2006 858%. DOES NOT MOLUCE APUDO. A 2006 858%
Est Cost from SYSTEMS ENG in New Jersey for 6,7 MGD sen3.000	\$17,986,000 \$1,636,000 \$422,000 \$1,986,000 \$7,188,000 \$7,188,000 \$2,161,000 \$2,161,000 \$1,000	\$7,000,000 \$0 \$38,900,000 \$6,200 \$1,965,150 \$47,088,190	\$3,294,623 \$277,546 \$277,546 \$175,000 \$4,105 \$25,500 \$25,140 \$51,168 \$51,108,730 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$11,600 \$11,000 \$	\$55.262.314 One 52.345,000 See 5.345,000 See
773/2006 b. vendencon Rom Land and Land Rights Carl and Davehase	Phase 14 Plant Constituction Sincures and improvements Sincures and improvements Raw water storage reservoirs Backlup Power Pumps, Morics & Piping Water Treatment Equipment Finished Water Reservoir Office Furniture Tools & Equipment Laboratory Equipment Sub 70st #7 Fullipment Sub 70st #7 Fullipment	Design Changes and Inflation from bid through design Az AM Weter ROM Changes per July/August 2006 Scope Review Sub Total #2 Inflation Adjustment 2004 - 2006 Confingency Signature	Phase 1A Soft Costs Onlined WT Joint Venture Contract Change Orders Through 11004 Change Orders Through 11004 BeW Home Office Support Permitting Through 11004 Additional Permitting Teaching Bidding Services Bidding Services Construction Phase Services Resident Engineer Preparation AAW Internal Costs Through 12004 Estimated Additional AWW Costs Pilot Treating & UP Procurement Costs Ones Internal Costs During Construction Sub 1 Total Soft Costs	Sum of Sub Totals APUDC (86.5% OHD (84.25% Total Total Prior 2006 Budget 2007 Spand 2008 Spand whout infration 2008 Spand whout infration 2008 Spand whout infration 2008 Spand whout infration 2009 Shadget (wi infration) 2009 Budget (wi infration) 2009 Control of Spander)

FOOTNOTES:
(1) ADJUSTED TO MATCH TREND REGISTER
(2) RELECTS AZ AMERICAN SOW CHANGE, ELIMINATE ONE FINISHED WATER RESERVOIR (\$750%), DOWNSIZE UV (\$50%), & ELECTRICAL DOWNSIZING (\$100%)
(2) REFLECTS AZ AMERICAN SOW CHANGE, ELIMINATE ONE FINISHED WATER AS USED IN PREVIOUS ESTIMATES, ALSO REFLECTS MATENAL INGREASE FOR ELECTRICAL (\$300%)
(3) REFLECTS AZ AMERICAN SOW CHANGE, ADDING BACK IN RAW WATER STORAGE BASIN, FLOCE BASIN, DAF CLARIFIER, & FLITER (SUM OF THESE ITEMS IS ~\$1M)

NOTE:
COST BREACDOWN (HIGHLIGHTS) FOR THE ADMIN BUILDING:
> LAB EQUIPMENT \$30K (BID ALLOWANCE)
> SHOP EQUIPMENT \$30K (BID ALLOWANCE)
> COMPUTER EQUIPMENT \$30K (BID ALLOWANCE)
> COMPUTER EQUIPMENT \$30K (BID ALLOWANCE)
> ESTIMATED COST TO CONSTRUCT ADMIN BLDG IS \$368,300-

Docket No. W-01303A-05-0718 Revised Application

Capital Expenditures Related to Water Hook-Up Fees

District	Brief Description of Proposed Expenditures	Prior	Total 2006	Total 2007	Total 2008	Total 2009	Total 2010	Total 2011	Post 2011	Total
Agua Fria W	Agua Fria Water Investment Projects									
23610204	AF White Tanks CAP Treatment Plant	5,924,071	175,929	15,237,000	27,335,000	18,653,000	•	•	•	67,325,000
23610205	AF Surface Water Trunk Main Ph 1	9,735,508	339,492	•	•	•	•	•	•	10,075,000
23610602	AF White Tanks Trans Pipeline		25,000	2,750,000	4	1		į	•	2,775,000
23610401	AF Verrado Zone 3S Reservoir Expansion	47,303	2,087,697	٠		•	•	•	•	2,135,000
23610501	AF WP 11 (Verrado Zone 3N BPS)	•	•	1	163,500	1,362,000	•	ı	•	1,525,500
23610506	AF Well 7.3 (Corte Bella Well 3)	•	•	•		425,390	1,489,665	•	1	1,915,055
23610512	AF WP 9 Additional Pumps	146,526	3,474	,	•	•	•	ī	•	150,000
23610513	AF WP 5 Expansion	•	•	•		•	•	•	1,936,750	1,936,750
23610515	AF Sierra Montana Plant Expansion	•	•	250,000	2,511,250	•	•	•	•	2,761,250
23610516	AF Corte Bella Plant Expansion	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2,540,000	2,540,000
23610517	AF Verrado Z3N Reservoir	•	•	•	327,000	3,632,000	•		•	3,959,000
23610601	AF Verrado Zone 6 Reservoir 1.2MG		•	•	•	•	141,600	1,470,000	•	1,611,600
23610604	AF 303 Corridor BPS		•	•	•	794,500	•	612,500	1,270,000	2,677,000
23610605	AF Westcor BPS		•	•	•	•	•	•	1,270,000	1,270,000
23610608	AF Rancho Cabrillo - New Well / Storage / Booster site		•	•	•	•	•	•	1,016,000	1,016,000
23610609	AF Verrado WTP Zone 5N Booster Pump Station		•	•	•	113,500	1,180,000	•	1	1,293,500
23610610	AF Verrado WTP Zone 6N Booster Pump Station		•	•	•	1	118,000	1,225,000	•	1,343,000
23610611	AF Sierra Montana Plant Expansion - Phase 2		•	•	•	•	•	•	635,000	635,000
2361XXXX	Sedella Transmission Main		٠	•	4	340,500	290,000		3,810,000	4,740,500
2361YYYY	Westcor BPS Trans Line		•	•	•	•	ı	1,225,000	1,270,000	2,495,000
2361ZZZZ	AF Verrado Z3N Trans Main	•	•	•	381,500	3,405,000		•	1	3,786,500
2361AAAA	AF Verrado Z6S BPS		100,000	800,000	•	•	•	•	1	900,000
2361BBBB	AF Verrado Z4N BPS		•	•	•	113,500	1,180,000	•	ı	1,293,500
2361DDDD	AF Verrado Z8 BPS			•	•	•	•	122,500	635,000	757,500
2361EEEE	AF Verrado Z8 Reservoir		,	•	•	•	•	122,500	1,270,000	1,392,500
2361CCCC	AF Dist System/SOS Improvement Ph 1		100,000	•	•	•	•	•	ì	100,000
2361FFFF	AF Dist System/SOS Improvement Ph 2		•	000'009	•	•	1	•	ı	000'009
2361GGGG	AF Dist System/SOS Improvement Ph 3		•	200,000	5,450,000	•	•		•	5,950,000
	AF Prasada BPS		•	•	•	•	•	ı	1,270,000	1,270,000
	AF Sedella BPS		•	1		567,500	826,000	1	1,270,000	2,663,500
	Total	15,853,408	2,831,592	20,137,000	36,168,250	29,406,890	5,525,265	4,777,500	18,192,750	132,892,655

The numbers above are based upon the current CAPEX budget (Q2RF)

*This project has a contribution from a Developer of \$571,726.82 in 2006 which reduced American's cost

5,721,846

Expected annual CapEx Growth Five_eight Tive_four One One half Two

Total Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees

\$ 3,988,010

6,721,846 0.06 0.2038 0.3348 0.3348 0.0104 0.0177

100% Check sum of meter size shares

	Existing HUF No Change 5 1.150									
	Factor	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
5/8-inch cust	8		725	791	206	711	689	229	579	209
3/4-inch cust	25		1.549	1,688	1,508	1,518	1,471	1,446	1,235	1,297
1-joch giet	250		1.188	1.295	1,157	1,165	1,128	1,109	947	982
1 Frinch Crief	985		37	4	36	36	35	33	30	31
2-inch cust.	8.00		63	69	61	62	09	29	20	53
3-inch cust.	16.00					•	•		,	
Customer Growth			3563	3883	3468	3492	3383	3326	2841	2983
Total Customers		21,375	24,938	28,821	32,289	35,781	39,164	42,490	45,331	48,314
5/8-inch eist	\$1,150.00 \$1,150.	0	•	\$ 909,650 \$	811,900	\$ 817,650 \$	792,350 \$	778,550 \$	\$ 052,850	698,451
3/4-inch crist		8	•	2,911,800 \$	2,601,300	2,618,550	2,537,475	2,494,350 \$	2,130,375 \$	2,237,377
1-inch clist		. 8	•	3,723,125 \$	3,326,375	3,349,375	3,243,000 \$	3,188,375 \$	2,722,625 \$	2,860,186
1 5-inch cust	\$5,750.00	. 8	•	230,000 \$	207,000	\$ 207,000 \$	201,250 \$	201,250 \$	172,500 \$	178,386
2-inch cust.		8	•,	634,800 \$	561,200	570,400	552,000 \$	542,800 \$	460,000 \$	485,760
3-inch cust.	\$18,400.00 \$18,400.00	8	ν,	•		,	•	,	,	
Total HUF Collections			•,	8,409,375 \$	7,507,775	7,562,975	7,326,075 \$	7,205,325 \$	6,151,350 \$	6,460,160
Balance Forward			\$ 3,988,010	12,397,385 \$	19,905,160	3 27,468,135	34,794,210 \$	41,999,535 \$	48,150,885 \$	54,611,046
Existing capex program Net Unfunded Plant			15,853,408 -11,865,398	18,685,000 -6,287,615	38,822,000 -18,916,840	74,990,250 -47,522,115	104,397,140 -69,602,930	109,922,405 -67,922,870	114,699,905 -66,549,020	132,892,655 -78,281,610

3,988,010 5,721,846 0.05 0.2036 0,4348 0.3335 0.0104 Collected Hook-Up Fees as of 12/31/05 Expected annual CapEx Growth Five_eight Three_four One_half Two

Total Water Facilities Hook-Up Fees

Existing HUF No Change \$ 1,150

100%

							-					
	Factor		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
5/8-inch cust	1,00		607	607	209	209	209	209	607	409	209	607
3/4-inch cust	150		1.297	1.297	1.297	1.297	1,297	1,297	1.297	1,297	1,297	1,297
1 inch cust	250		586	566	995	982	982	986	982	986	982	986
4 E inch 2:04	00'5		7	5	3	33	33	33	33	31	34	31
L'3-Irigi cust.	2.00		5	5	,	; ;	; ;	5	5 1	; ;	; ;	; ;
2-inch cust.	8.00		S	8	23	23	23	23	23	53	53	23
3-inch cust.	16.00				•	,	,	,		,		
Customer Growth			2983	2983	2983	2983	2983	2983	2983	2983	2983	2983
Total Customers			51,297	54,280	57,263	60,246	63,229	66,212	69,195	72,178	75,162	78,145
5/8-inch cust	\$1,150.00	\$1,150.00 \$	698,451 \$	698,451 \$	698,451	698,451 \$	698,451	\$ 698,451 \$	\$ 698,451 \$	698,451	\$ 698,451	\$ 698,451
3/4-inch cust.		\$1,725.00 \$	2,237,377 \$	2,237,377 \$	2,237,377	3, 2,237,377 \$	2,237,377	\$ 2,237,377 \$	\$ 2,237,377 \$	2,237,377	\$ 2,237,377	\$ 2,237,377
1-inch cust		\$2,875.00 \$	2,860,186 \$	2,860,186 \$	2,860,186	2,860,186 \$	2,860,186	\$ 2,860,186 \$	\$ 2,860,186 \$	2,860,186	\$ 2,860,186	\$ 2,860,186
1 S-inch cust.		\$5,750.00 \$	178,386 \$	178,386 \$	178,386	178,386 \$	178,386	178,386 \$	178,386 \$	178,386	\$ 178,386	\$ 178,386
2-inch cust.		\$9,200.00 \$	\$ 62,760 \$	485,760 \$	485,760 \$	\$ 485,760 \$	485,760	\$ 485,760 \$	\$ 485,760 \$	485,760	\$ 485,760	\$ 485,760
3-inch cust.	\$18,400.00	\$18,400.00 \$,		,	•		· ·	,		, •
Total HUF Collections		s	6,460,160 \$	6,460,160 \$	6,460,160 \$	6,460,160 \$	6,460,160	\$ 6,460,160 \$	\$ 6,460,160 \$	6,460,160	\$ 6,460,160	\$ 6,460,160
Balance Forward		₩	61,071,206 \$	67,531,366 \$	73,991,526 \$	\$ 80,451,686 \$	86,911,847	\$ 93,372,007 \$	\$ 99,832,167 \$	106,292,327	\$ 112,752,487	\$ 119,212,648
Existing capex program Net Unfunded Plant			138,614,501 -77,543,295	144,336,347 -76,804,981	150,058,193 -76,066,667	155,780,039 -75,328,353	161,501,885 -74,590,039	167,223,731 -73,851,724	172,945,577 -73,113,410	178,667,423 -72,375,096	184,389,269 -71,636,782	190,111,115 -70,898,468

\$ 3,988,010

Total Proposed Hook-Up Fees

	Existing HUF Ne	¥ TUF											
	\$ 1.150 \$	3.000										i	
	Factor	actor	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
5/8-inch cast	1.00	1.00		725	791	902	711	689	229	579	607	209	209
3/4-inch cust	1.50	1.50		1,549	1,688	1,508	1,518	1,471	1,446	1,235	1,297	1,297	1,297
1-inch clist	2.50	2.50		1,188	1,295	1,157	1,165	1,128	1,109	947	982	995	986
15-inch cust	00.5	5.00		37	40	36	98	35	32	30	31	33	31
2-inch cust.	8.00	8.00		æ	69	61	62	09	59	20	53	53	53
3-inch cust.	16.00	16.00		Ť	•	•			•			•	•
Customer Growth				3,563	3,883	3,468	3,492	3,383	3,326	2,841	2,983	2,983	2,983
Total Customers			21,375	24,938	28,821	32,289	35,781	39,164	42,490	45,331	48,314	51,297	54,280
5/R-inch cust	\$1,150.00 \$	3,000			\$ 909,650	\$ 2,118,000	\$ 2,133,000	\$ 2,067,000	2,031,000	1,737,000	\$ 1,822,047	\$ 1,822,047	\$ 1,822,047
3/4-inch cast	\$1,725.00 \$	4.500			\$ 2,911,800	\$ 6,786,000	\$ 6,831,000	\$ 6,619,500	000,705,8	9,557,500	\$ 5,836,636	\$ 5,836,636	\$ 5,836,636
1-inch cust	\$2,875,00 \$	7,500			\$ 3,723,125	\$ 8,677,500	\$ 8,737,500	\$ 8,460,000	8,317,500	7,102,500	\$ 7,461,354	\$ 7,461,354	\$ 7,461,354
1.5-inch cust.	\$5,750.00 \$	15,000			\$ 230,000	\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000	\$ 525,000	252,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 465,356	\$ 465,356	\$ 465,356
2-inch cust.	\$9,200.00 \$	24,000			\$ 634,800	\$ 1,464,000	\$ 1,488,000	1,440,000	1,416,000	1,200,000	\$ 1,267,200	\$ 1,267,200	\$ 1,267,200
3-inch cust.	\$18,400.00 \$	48,000			, 69	, sa				,	,		· •
Total HUF Collections					\$ 8,409,375	\$ 19,585,500	\$ 19,729,500	\$ 19,111,500	18,796,500	\$ 16,047,000	\$ 16,852,592	\$ 16,852,592	
Balance Forward			•	3,988,010	\$ 12,397,385	\$ 31,982,885	\$ 51,712,385	\$ 70,823,885	89,620,385	\$ 105,667,385	\$ 122,519,977	\$ 139,372,569	\$ 156,225,161
Existing capex program Net Unfunded Plant				15,853,408 -11,865,398	18,685,000 -6,287,615	38,822,000 -6,839,115	74,990,250 -23,277,865	104,397,140 -33,573,255	109,922,405 -20,302,020	114,699,905 -9,032,520	132,892,655 -10,372,678	138,614,501 758,068	144,336,347 11,888,814

Total Proposed Hook-Up Fees

\$ 3,988,010

\$ Fador 1,150 (2,20 (2,50)(2,5

Total Proposed Hook-Up Fees

3,988,010

144,336,347 93,391,220 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 237,727,567 2,854,540 \$ 2,854,540 \$ 9,144,062 \$ 9,144,062 \$ 11,689,454 \$ 729,057 \$ 729,057 \$ 1,985,279 \$ 138,614,501 72,710,672 25,140,300 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 158,520,385 \$ 184,922,779 \$ 211,325,173 132,892,655 52,030,124 - 607 1,297 995 31 53 2,983 48,314 2,721,300 \$... 8,706,750 \$... 11,127,250 \$ 1,705,000 \$ 1,880,000 \$ \$... \$ 114,699,905 43,820,480 579 1,235 947 30 50 29,447,850 \$ 133,380,085 \$ 1 3,181,900 \$
10,194,300 \$
13,030,750 \$
822,500 \$
2,218,400 \$ 109,922,405 23,457,680 3,238,300 10,370,550 13,254,000 822,500 2,256,000 29,941,350 103,932,235 104,397,140 -464,905 3,383 39,164 30,909,550 \$ 73,990,885 \$ 3,341,700 \$
10,701,900 \$
13,688,750 \$
846,000 \$
2,331,200 \$ 74,990,250 -999,365 3,492 35,781 3,318,200 10,631,400 13,594,750 846,000 2,293,600 30,683,950 43,081,335 38,822,000 4,259,335 3,468 32,289 909,650 5, 2,911,800 8, 3,723,125 8, 230,000 8, 634,800 \$ 8,409,375 1 12,397,385 1 18,685,000 -6,287,615 15,853,408 -11,865,398 3,563 24,938 725 1,549 1,188 37 63 3,988,010 2004 4,700 7,050 11,750 23,500 37,600 75,200 Factor 1.00 1.50 2.50 5.00 8.00 16.00 \$ 1,150 \$ 1,150 \$ 1,150 \$ 1,150 \$ 1,150 \$ 1,50 \$ 2,50 \$ 5,00 \$ 8,00 \$ 16,00 \$ 16,00 \$ 16,00 \$ 16,00 \$ 1,150 \$
1,725 \$
2,875 \$
5,750 \$
9,200 \$ Existing capex program Net Unfunded Plant Total HUF Collections Balance Forward Customer Growth Total Customers 5/8-inch cust. 3/4-inch cust. 1.5-inch cust. 2-inch cust. 2-inch cust. 5/8-inch cust. 3/4-inch cust. 1-inch cust. 1.5-inch cust. 2-inch cust. 3-inch cust.

\$ 3,988,010

Total Proposed Hook-Up Fees

00000		Existing HUF	New HUF									
Factor Factor 2015 7016 2017 2018 2017 2018 2019 2017 2018 2019 2017 2011 2017 2018 2017 2018 2019 2017 2018 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019		\$ 1,150	\$ 4,700					0,00	0000	, , ,		إ
100 100 100 107 1297		Factor	Factor	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	202	23
150 150 150 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207 120	5/8-inch caset	1.00	9:	607	607	409	209	209	209	209		607
250 250 996 996 996 996 996 996 996 996 996 99	3/4-inch cust	1.50	1.50	1,297	1,297	1,297	1,297	1,297	1,297	1,297		1,297
5.00 5.00 5.	1-inch cust	2.50	2.50	966	986	986	995	995	966	995		982
1,000 1,00	15-inch clist	2009	200	31	31	31	31	31	33	31		31
16.00 16.00 2.983	2-inch cast	8.00	8.00	53	53	53	53	53	53	S		53
\$ 1,150 \$ 4,700 \$ 2,854,540 \$	3-inch cust.	16.00	16.00		,		i	,	ı	•		
\$ 1,150 \$ 4,700 \$ 2,864,540 \$ 2,864,540 \$ 2,864,540 \$ 2,864,640 \$	Customer Growth			2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983		2,983
\$ 1,150 \$ 4,700 \$ 2,864,540 \$ 2,864,540 \$ 2,864,540 \$ 2,864,640 \$	Total Customers			57,263	60,246	63,229	66,212	69,195	72,178	75,162		78,145
\$ 1,725 \$ 7,050 \$ 9,144,002 \$ 1,0894,44 \$	5/8-inch cust	\$ 1,150	G	\$ 2,854,540	\$ 2,854,540	\$ 2,854,540 \$	3 2,854,540	3 2,854,540	\$ 2,854,540	\$ 2,854,540	\$ 2,8	354,540
\$ 2875 \$ 11,889,454 \$ 11,899,454 \$ 11,899,45	3/4-inch cust	\$ 1.72	S	\$ 9,144,062	\$ 9,144,062	\$ 9,144,062 \$	9,144,062	9,144,062	\$ 9,144,062	\$ 9,144,062	\$ 9.1	144,062
\$ 5,750 \$ 23.500 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 729.067 \$ 75.000 \$	1-inch cust	\$ 2.87	69	\$ 11,689,454	\$ 11,689,454	\$ 11,689,454 \$	11,689,454	11,689,454	\$ 11,689,454	\$ 11,689,454	\$ 11,6	389,454
\$ 9,200 \$ 37,600 \$ 1,885,279 \$ 1,885,270 \$	15-inch cust	\$ 5,750	69	\$ 729,057	\$ 729,057	\$ 729,057	729,057	729,057	\$ 729,057	\$ 729,057	8	729,057
\$ 18,400 \$ 75,200 \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$	2-inch cust.	\$ 9,200	69	\$ 1,985,279	\$ 1,985,279	\$ 1,985,279 \$	1,985,279	1,985,279	\$ 1,985,279	\$ 1,985,279	\$ 1,9	985,279
\$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 26,402,394 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,402,402 \$ 2,26,40	3-inch cust.	\$ 18,400	69	, 4			,	,	,	, 4 9	6	
150,058,193 155,780,039 161,501,885 167,223,731 172,945,577 178,667,423 164,389,269 114,071,788 134,752,215 155,422,863 176,113,411 196,793,959 217,474,507 238,155,055	Total HUF Collections Balance Forward			\$ 26,402,394 \$ 264,129,961	\$ 26,402,394 \$ 290,532,355	\$ 26,402,394 \$ 316,934,748 \$	\$ 26,402,394 \$ 343,337,142	26,402,394 369,739,536	\$ 26,402,394 \$ 396,141,930	•	\$ 26,4 \$ 448,9	402,394 346,718
	Existing capex program Net Unfunded Plant			150,058,193	155,780,039 134,752,315	161,501,885 155,432,863	167,223,731 176,113,411	172,945,577 196,793,959	178,667,423 217,474,507	184,389,269 238,155,055	190,	,111,115 ,835,603